

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,473	07/03/2001	David Holtzman	OPI-101-CIP-III	7062
7590 03/14/2005			EXAMINER	
MARC S. WEINER			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH 8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 500 EAST			2131	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22042		DATE MAILED: 03/14/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/897,473	HOLTZMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher A. Revak	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication of the second for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3/2) period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>03 July 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	·	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ithdrawn from consideration.				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-57</u> are subject to restriction a Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminor				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Dotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	48) Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/897,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-31 and 57, drawn to authentication in a generic system, classified in class 713, subclass 202.
- II. Claims 32-44, drawn to an electronic voting system, classified in class705, subclass 12.
- III. Claims 45-52, drawn to an electronic dating system, classified in class 705, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I,II, and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I claims use of an authentication process in a generic system. Invention II claims usage of an electronic voting system. Invention III claims usage in an electronic dating system. All three inventions have separate utility. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Claim 54, drawn to an electronic voting system, classified in class 705, subclass 12.

Species B: Claim 55, drawn to an electronic dating system, classified in class 705, subclass 1.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 53 and 56 are generic and are classified in class 713, subclass 202.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 09/897,473

Art Unit: 2131

Page 4

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-

272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Revak

U 2131

3/12/05

March 12, 2005